

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENTTED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/053,659	01/24/2002	Kazushi Torii	43379	8146	
1609	7590 03/21/2005		EXAM	IINER	
ROYLANCE, ABRAMS, BERDO & GOODMAN, L.L.P.			WOODWARD, ANA LUCRECIA		
1300 19TH SUITE 600	STREET, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	WASHINGTON,, DC 20036		1731		
			DATE MAREED: 03/21/200	DATE MAHLED: 03/21/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>			(1/)			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Astinus Communication	10/053,659	TORII ET AL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ana L. Woodward	1711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ss			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commo D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.			
Status	1 1 7					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	123/2004					
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This	- ' ' action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		secution as to the me	erits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims.						
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application	ر م					
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed (2. 14-73 73 72 74 74 84-89						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 63, 66-70, 73, 78-81 and 86-89 (6) Claim(s) $\frac{48-50}{10}$ is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-1	152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 	have been received.	., ,,				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary ((PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te	_			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152	2)			

Art Unit: 1711

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 37-47, 51, 52, 57, 58, 64, 65, 71, 72, 74-77 and 82-85 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected group, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on June 22,2004

2. Applicants' arguments relative to the restriction requirement are not found persuasive because applicants have not submitted evidence or identified such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants of each other or clearly admitted on the record that this is the case.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1711

5. Claims 48-50, 53-56, 59-63, 66-70, 73, 78-81 and 86-89 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over U.S.. WO 95/22358 as per reasons of record.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed December 23, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The water-absorbing agents of the present claims are defined by reference to a plurality of characteristics or properties. It is almost impossible to compare the parameters applicants have chosen to recite with what is set out in the prior art. As a practical matter, the Patent Office is not equipped to manufacture products, obtain prior art products and make comparisons. Thus once the examiner has established that there is reasonable basis for believing that the claimed products are the same as or obvious from the product of the prior art, the burden shifts to applicants to provide objective evidence that the products are not the same. WO '358 discloses absorbent materials prepared from essentially the same components, in the same proportions, used in preparing the presently claimed water-absorbing agents. It is maintained that the prior art discloses a product which reasonably appears to be either identical with or only slightly different from the product of the appealed claims.

Applicants have presented no objective evidence that the absorbent materials of WO '358 differ from that presently claimed. Applicants argue that materials of the reference do not inherently have the claimed properties. However, arguments cannot take the place of factual evidence and, as such, applicants have not met their burden.

Art Unit: 1711

Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ana L. Woodward whose telephone number is (571) 272-1082. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James J. Seidleck can be reached on (571) 272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1711

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ana L'. Woodward

Examiner Art Unit 1711

aw